This is a summary of comments submitted during the Intent to Adopt (ITA) review period for the draft Tanana Valley State Forest Management Plan (TVSF MP). This comment matrix includes summaries of each comment received, DOF responses to each comment, and recommended changes to the plan based on comments received. If comments submitted during the ITA review period were addressed in the <u>Public Review Draft Issue Response Summary</u>, they are not addressed in this document.

| Subject | RESPONSE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
|----------------------------|---|---------------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | P. 93, line 19 on section 2 (fish and wildlife habitat), add text as follows: "Low elevation areas of both the Kantishna and the Tanana Rivers are important habitat for black bears and moose. Wood bison were reintroduced to Unit 2A of the TVSF near the Minto Flats State Game Refuge in 2024. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | P. 163, section 2 (fish and wildlife habitat), add text after sentence on Fortymile caribou: "Plains bison were introduced to the Delta Junction area in 1928. Nearby the Delta Junction State Bison Range was established in 1979, with Unit 10C of the TVSF established adjacent on the east in 1983." | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Revise as recommended |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | Pg 25, line 7: Please add this key resource at end of this paragraph: Paragi et al. (2020) provides best practices for managing boreal forest habitat for both timber and wildlife resources. | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Revise as recommended |

| ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | | |
|--|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | Pg 25, line 22: Recommend inserting these two paragraphs in order to address other wildlife considerations in habitats other than post-harvest: Other wildlife, such as insect-eating birds and raptors, can help reduce the risks of destabilizing herbivory events, such as damage from irruptive insects and small mammals, while providing viewing opportunities for tourists and residents. Paragi et al. (2020: Table 2) highlighted management suggestions that promote beneficial activity of avian predators. For example, retaining forest patches with late-seral features across harvested areas. This provides predators with snags and cavity trees needed for nesting and hunting in a manner that mimics natural disturbance, such as fire. Finally, voles disperse fungal spores that facilitate seedling establishment, regeneration and tree growth (Paragi et al. 2020). Retaining dispersed woody debris in open harvested areas facilitates vole movement into, and soil innoculation of, areas where conifer regeneration is desired (Paragi et al. 2020: Table 2). In central Alaska, vole herbivory is generally not extreme (Paragi et al. 2016: 122-123). | Thank you for your comment. Concur | Revise as recommended Revise as recommended | |

| | RESPONSE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES | | 1 |
|----------------------------|---|--|------------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | Pg 26, line 4: Insert this text after "mortality": Paragi et al. (2016, 2020) recommended wildlife- based management strategies to reduce the risk of insect irruptions when trees are weakened by environmental stressors (e.g., drought, fire, or floods), by maintaining a diverse suite of birds that act as insect predators. Birds can also target key pests, such as woodpecker predation of bark | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | beetles. Add the following as the second to last sentence of this introductory paragraph: "Reforestation on carbon sequestration projects was initially considered early in market scoping (Alden 2001)." | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |
| Fish & Wildlife/Habitat | Pg 216, Line 5: Add the following as the second to last sentence of this introductory paragraph: "Reforestation on carbon sequestration projects was initially considered early in market scoping (Alden 2001)." | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |
| Bibliography | New citation: Paragi, T. F., J. C. Hagelin, and S. M. Brainerd. 2016. Wildlife-reforestation interactions and adaptive management. Pages 122–186 [In] M. Freeman and J. Durst, editors. Forest Resources and Practices, Region II-III Reforestation Review, Annotated Bibliography. Department of Natural Resources, Forestry Science and Technical Committee. Document version 30 August 2016. https://www.arlis.org/docs/vol1/D/1078701684.pdf | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended |
| Editorial Change | Throughout document, search for capitalized "Native" and revise to "Alaska Native" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |

| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
|---------------------|--|--|------------------------|
| Editorial Change | P. 59, line 28: consider adding link or use formal name "Alaska DNR Forestry Resources Viewer" to | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended |
| Ü | aid internet searching to find the online interactive GIS tool. | | |
| Editorial Change | P. 270, insert citations (Hanson cited p. 259, line 19 but missing; Alden and Sundquist contingent on adopting inserts for p. 32): Alden, J.N. (tech. ed.). 2001. Reforestation needs and opportunities for carbon sequestration in Alaska. Proceedings of the Alaska Reforestation Council, 24 May 2000 workshop. Miscellaneous publication 2001-2, Agricultural and Forestry Experiment Station, University of Alaska, Fairbanks. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. |
| | Hanson, D. 2013. Timber inventory of state forest lands in the Tanana Valley 2013. Anchorage, AK: Alaska Department of Natural Resources, Division of Forestry. 42 p. [plus appendices]. http://forestry.alaska.gov/timber/forestinventories. | | |
| | Sundquist, S., D. Lutz, A. Foster, P. Fulé, and S. Goetz. 2024. Integrating remotely sensed imagery in a forest gap model to study North American boreal forests in a changing world. Environmental Research Ecology 3:045001. | | |
| Editorial Change | Preface, Pg I remove erroneous punctuation | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended |
| Editorial Change | Pg 4, Line 11 strike "and Restoration Division" | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended |

| ITA DRAFT ISSUE | ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Editorial | Pg 23, Line 11 strike "American peregrine falcons | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended. | |
| Change | have beenlist." | Concur. | | |
| Carbon | P. 32, line 14 (carbon management guidelines, | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended | |
| Management | section on evaluation): insert sentences with | Concur. | | |
| | information: "Reforestation on carbon | | | |
| | sequestration projects was initially considered | | | |
| | early in market scoping (Alden 2001). Recent | | | |
| | modeling of forest dynamics under climate | | | |
| | scenarios included outcomes of decreasing | | | |
| | biomass potentially important to offset evaluations | | | |
| | (Sundquist et al. 2024)." | | | |
| Carbon | Add Management Guideline: Requirements for | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended. | |
| Management | Carbon Offset Projects. AS 38.95.410 limits the | Concur. | | |
| | term of a carbon offset project to 55 years; requires | | | |
| | that State land used for a carbon offset project, to | | | |
| | the extent practicable, remain open to (1) the | | | |
| | public for access, hunting, fishing, and other | | | |
| | generally allowed uses and (2) other resource | | | |
| | development; and requires that State land used for | | | |
| | a carbon offset project remain open to mineral | | | |
| | exploration and development. | | | |
| Carbon | P. 32, line 14 (carbon management guidelines, | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended. | |
| Management | section on evaluation): insert sentences with | Concur | | |
| | information: "Reforestation on carbon | | | |
| | sequestration projects was initially considered | | | |
| | early in market scoping (Alden 2001). Recent | | | |
| | modeling of forest dynamics under climate | | | |
| | scenarios included outcomes of decreasing | | | |
| | biomass potentially important to offset evaluations | | | |
| | (Sundquist et al. 2024)." | | | |

| ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | | |
|--|--|---|------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Enabling Legislation | Pg 1, lines 7-9: document references an outdated line of statute. Recommend revision to match 2024 AS language. | Thank you for your comment. Concur. | Revise as recommended. | |
| Infrastructure Maintenance | Please include high-level maintenance of all trails and logging roads in the state forest. | Thank you for your comment. Please see the Public review draft IRS for responses to comment topics submitted during the initial public review period. | No change. | |
| Infrastructure Maintenance | Page 55/74 regarding Tourism, "prevent erosion" and "resolve conflicts". We are losing trails due to unmanageable multiple-use conflicts between motorized and non-motorized users. Now a perfect storm of negative impacts is about to occur with the AK DNR, Div.of Lands, poised to increase the weight limit of ORVs, all unlicensed. The many State lands' trails rendered nigh unusable for human-powered sportspeople by these quagmire generators is unacceptable. | Thank you for your comment. DOF acknowledges your concern over road and trail conditions on State-owned lands. | No change. | |
| Infrastructure Maintenance | Pg. 63/74, Conversion of Trails to Roads based on 5- year schedules, the foregoing comments need to added to the mix between Div. of Forestry and Div. of Mines, Lands and Water. | Thank you for your comment. | No change. | |

| | RESPONSE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Infrastructure Maintenance | Pg. 62/74, re Trail Corridors, "protect current use" and "width and siting of corridors depend on use." How can these principles effectively coexist with "Width of Access Corridors" based on the Eastern Yukon Tanana Area Plans for public access that allow a trail width of 100' (50' to either side of centerline). This parameter is disastrous and should be stripped to something relative to a trail, ie 6' wide. Once again the die is cast too much in favor of heavier, bigger, potentially mechanized usage. | Area plans such as the Eastern Tanana and Yukon Tanana Area Plans provide useful frame of reference for developing management policy on State lands. Lands within Tanana Valley State Forest are | |
| Infrastructure Maintenance | Pg. 71/74, re Off-Road Vehicle Activity, ORVs are permitted "if they do not kill or break through plant cover and expose soil to erosion." A chronic and increasing problem in the Interior, not only in the State Forest, is heavier more frequent ORV activity that is making trails impassable for non-motorized users with large sections of mud, water, and logs that multi-braided. There must be more restrictions and funding for enforcement. The means are there, "may be closed temporarily or seasonally", but they are seldom used to the detriment of the countryside. | Thank you for your comment. DOF notes your concern regarding vegetation damage caused by off-road vehicle activity. | No change |

| | RESPONSE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES | | |
|----------------|---|-----------------------------------|--------------------|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Management | Pg. 58/74, "State Forest land management will not | The primary purpose of State | No change. |
| Intent | seek to duplicate opportunities provided by other | Forests in Alaska is "timber | |
| | public lands in the Tanana Valley, such as | management that provides for | |
| | wilderness or highly developed recreation areas." | the production, utilization, and | |
| | This policy is unbalanced in favor of developed | replenishment of timber | |
| | recreation areas since these complexes can be | resources while allowing other | |
| | generated at any time; wilderness once lost is | beneficial uses of public land | |
| | irrecoverable. No more undisturbed lands are | and resources" (AS 41.17.200). | |
| | being created on our Earth. Shouldn't their | | |
| | preservation be the highest priority? | Preservation does not fall within | |
| | | the management intent for lands | |
| | | designated State Forest. | |
| Recommended | The Red Fox Experimental Plantation needs to be | The parcel containing the | No change |
| Land Additions | included in the Tanana Valley State Forests | experimental forest adjacent to | |
| | Expansion Plan. | TVSF Unit 13 is included in ETAP | |
| | | unit U-21. Unit U-21 is listed in | |
| | | both ETAP and the Tanana Valley | |
| | | State Forest Management Plan | |
| | | as a recommendation for | |
| | | addition to the TVSF. | |
| | | Amendment of the TVSF | |
| | | management plan is an | |
| | | opportunity to recommend | |
| | | changes to the state forest land | |
| | | base, but the decision to add | |
| | | lands to the State Forest must | |
| | | occur through a legislative | |
| | | designation. | |

| ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | | |
|--|--|-----------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| Recreation | Thank you for your proposed management plan. I see that much consideration is given to the importance of maintaining access to trails for recreational use. I can't emphasize enough how important it is for our mental and physical health to have a variety of welcoming trails all year round. It's not just keeping individuals strong, it is feeding our economy. Just in Fairbanks, we have at least 16 thriving businesses feeding our economy. Just in Fairbanks, we have at least 16 thriving businesses selling nonmotorized outdoor equipment, clothing, and gear. Even more selling motorized trail vehicles! Most of these businesses are locally owned and operated. | Thank you for your comment. | No change | |

| ITA DRAFT ISS | ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | | |
|---------------|--|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | | |
| Scientific | P. 57, lines 9-12 states, "Non-native species will be | Thank you for your comment. | Revise as recommended | | |
| Resources | planted only for research purposes, not for forest | Concur. | | | |
| | management operations that would convert native | | | | |
| | forests to non-native species." | | | | |
| | In that vein, consider inserting on page 216 under | | | | |
| | "Silvicultural Research" the following research | | | | |
| | topic prioritized as item (b) in research needs: | | | | |
| | "Potential advantages and disadvantages of | | | | |
| | reforestation with non-native, non-invasive tree | | | | |
| | species after timber harvest or natural mortality | | | | |
| | events are uncertain. How would naturalized | | | | |
| | species in Alaska like lodgepole pine or Siberian | | | | |
| | larch influence carbon sequestration, future timber | | | | |
| | supply, and fish and wildlife habitat in boreal | | | | |
| | forest? Prior to adopting forest regeneration at an | | | | |
| | operational scale that include non-native trees, a | | | | |
| | Science and Technical Committee and an | | | | |
| | Implementation Committee could be convened to | | | | |
| | consider pros and cons to forest health, ecosystem | | | | |
| | services, the forest industry and provide guidance | | | | |
| | for implantation and monitoring." | | | | |

| ITA DRAFT ISSUE | RESPONSE SUMMARY AND RECOMMENDED CHANGES | | |
|-------------------------------|--|---|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change |
| Sustained Yield Management | I would simply like to reassert two things detailed in my comments on the draft revision from last fall, which I am attaching to this comment. The first is that Forestry has an obligation to manage the resource sustainably and for the maximum public benefit, and that failing to consider tree or stand quality and proximity to market when determining allowable cut fails to live up to these requirements. The second is that in order to manage the forest sustainably, Forestry must do more to plan for both decreasing tree growth associated with climate change and the increasing risk of large-scale | Thank you for your comment. Please see the Public review draft IRS for responses to comment topics submitted during the initial public review period. | No change. |
| General | disturbance from climate-related wildfire or beetle kill. Land use designations and land classification are not clearly differentiated. | Concur. | Add information clarifying land use designations and land classifications |
| General | Management of Research Natural Areas should be emphasized as differing from subunits intended for timber harvest. | Concur | Co-designate RNAs as FOR and Reserved Use Clarify management intent in RNAs to represent reserved use |
| General | Hazardous Sites should be identified in unit summaries | Concur. | Add known hazardous sites to resource summary tables in Chapter 3 |

| ITA Draft issue Response Summary and Recommended Changes | | | | |
|--|---|----------|-----------------------------|--|
| Subject | Issue Summary | Response | Recommended Change | |
| General | Some unit summary tables have incomplete "other | Concur. | Add land records | |
| | resources and uses" sections | | information where needed | |
| | | | in unit summary tables | |
| General | Land Classification Order document must be | Concur. | Insert Land Classification | |
| | included as a section of the management plan | | Order as Appendix B and re- | |
| | | | number subsequent | |
| | | | appendices accordingly. | |